```
1
 1
                         UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
 2
                           SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION
 3
      JORDAN BLAIR,
 4
                Plaintiff,
                                          No. 1:02-CV-88 CAS
 5
           VS.
 6
      BOB WILLS, et al.,
 7
                Defendant.
 8
                       TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING
 9
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES A. SHAW
10
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
                            September 23, 2003
11
12
      APPEARANCES TELEPHONICALLY:
13
      For Plaintiff:
                           Mr. Oscar Stilley
14
                           5111 Rogers Avenue, Suite 520
                           Central Mall Plaza
                           Fort Smith, AR 72903
15
      For Defendant:
                           Mr. John L. Oliver, Jr.
16
                           OLIVER AND OLIVER
                           400 Broadway, P.O. Box 559
17
                           Cape Girardeau, MO 63702
18
                           Mr. John D. Briggs
19
                           BROWN AND JAMES
                           1010 Market Street, 20th Floor
20
                           St. Louis, MO 63101
21
      REPORTED BY:
                           SUSAN R. MORAN, RMR
                           Official Court Reporter
22
                           111 South 10th Street
                           St. Louis, MO 63102
23
                           (314) 244-7983
24
      Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, produced by
      computer-aided transcription.
25
```

```
(The following proceedings were held in chambers via
 1
 2
      telephone on September 23, 2003 at 11:40 a.m.:)
 3
               THE COURT: And who else do I have besides --
 4
               MR. STILLEY: Oscar Stilley here.
 5
               THE COURT: How you doing?
 6
               MR. STILLEY: Fine, Judge.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
 8
               MR. BRIGGS: Judge, John Briggs from Brown & James.
 9
               THE COURT: B-r-i-g-g-s?
10
               MR. BRIGGS: B-r-i-g-g-s.
11
               THE COURT: Listen, let me say this, I have my court
12
      reporter here. I've got you all on speaker phone as well as
13
      my law clerk Ebony Woods. So Sue Moran is the court reporter
14
      and Ebony Woods is here because I wanted to make a record of
15
             Since you all weren't here, we need to make a record
16
      on these various issues that are involved here.
17
               What about -- tell me this. First of all, what
18
      about this ADR, the deadline for that was you all were
19
      supposed to submit something by the 22nd, which was
20
      yesterday, has that been done? Has something happened on
21
      that?
22
               MR. STILLEY: It has. Judge, we just solidified an
23
      agreement on who we were going to use and what we were going
24
      to do as of today. I called over to the clerk today.
25
               THE COURT: You know, to help out Sue, you're going
```

to have to say who you are.

MR. STILLEY: Okay, this is Oscar Stilley, attorney for Jordan Blair. What I was saying, we have solidified arrangements for mediation. And I'll be -- I'm planning to overnight the form to the clerk, the completed form today.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. That's good. Now, why don't we go ahead and take a look at these various discovery problems we've got here. I think the first thing is about some depositions. What's the situation here? I think there's a request to compel defendants to be deposed. What's that situation?

MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, this is Oscar Stilley
here. I have been trying for many months to get depositions
set up. I haven't been able to do that. They tell me now at
this point in time they are going to allow me to do the
depositions on the 6th and 7th of October and then again on
the 16th and 17th, the 17th being the deadline for completion
of discovery.

THE COURT: Well, I've got a date -- I guess maybe for that, I don't know. But I've got a deadline date of October 22nd.

MR. STILLEY: Oh, I bet you're right. I bet you're right. I was just recalling from memory there.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, so you say that's resolved, so I guess that's moot.

MR. STILLEY: Well, actually it's not. What I'd like to do is to get the Court to order these depositions to take place and put some threat of sanctions to make sure the defendants follow through on that to do the depositions.

THE COURT: Well, you know, you seem to be suggesting that these gentlemen are going to act in bad faith. All the lawyers are officers of the court.

MR. STILLEY: That's true.

THE COURT: They've been deputized, you know what I mean, just like you've been deputized since you came up here.

And if you act bad, I have to do something bad to you. You see what I'm saying?

MR. STILLEY: I do. I certainly understand that.

THE COURT: And my philosophy is like the great

California philosopher, Rodney King, who said, "Can't we all

just get along, please?" You know, I'm trying to live in

peace up here, and the people come up here with disturbances,

I have to do something bad to them so they will leave me

alone. We need to get along.

If they are giving you deposition dates, what indication do you have that they are not going to honor them?

MR. STILLEY: Judge Shaw, the only reason that I would question that is the fact that I have been trying for so many months, and I even came up and supplied the plaintiff and another witness for deposition, and not one single person

showed up despite the fact I this noticed them up for deposition at that time. I'm just like you, I like to get along and go along. And we've got enough time. We can just see what happens on the 6th and the 7th. And if they'll come and show up for depositions, we don't need to go any further.

THE COURT: Mr. Oliver, Mr. Briggs, you all want to respond?

MR. OLIVER: Judge, this is John Oliver. The people that we've identified to Mr. Stilley who will be available will be available on the 6th and 7th. And if we don't finish on the 16th and 17th, then, you know, I've confirmed this morning they will be available, and they will be available. I don't know what else there is to say. I mean, it's not like we haven't given him dates before, we just haven't been able to hit a mutually agreeable time. I think the issue is closed.

THE COURT: Well, I don't see that there's a problem right now. I'm going to deny this as moot for the time being. Your discovery is supposed to close the 22nd, so let's go ahead and get it done and move on.

I'm trying to -- we had a retreat and the judges had somebody in from Washington talk about how we're supposed to do justice. And I said, wait a minute, a famous lawyer told me that if he ever came before me, he didn't want any justice, that was some bad stuff, he wanted mercy. So I've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
kind of concluded that maybe justice is in the eye of the
beholder. And we are here to dispose of cases as judges, you
know, and hopefully justice is done, but I think that that
depends upon who is on what end of it.
        But let's keep these things moving so at least we
can dispose of cases and we'll -- people will figure out on
their own whether or not justice was done I guess.
        So as far as I'm concerned, that is moot. Now, what
about paying you -- Mr. Stilley wanted to pay mileage for the
deposition of your witness.
        MR. STILLEY: Yes. Well, I don't know if you'd call
it my witness, it is a witness in the case.
        THE COURT: It's Teri Young?
        MR. STILLEY: Yes.
        THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that.
        MR. STILLEY: Okay. See, we had this scheduled and
it's kind of a convoluted situation.
        THE COURT: Well, give me the short version.
        MR. STILLEY: Okay. They subpoenaed her in
Arkansas, then we agreed to do it in St. Louis, and then we
agreed to do it in Cape Girardeau. They came and gave that
deposition, and I was wanting the mileage and fees on that.
        THE COURT: Well, what about that, Mr. Oliver?
        MR. OLIVER: Well, Judge Shaw, this is pretty
straightforward. She was subpoenaed to appear in her home
```

```
1
            We wanted to go to her home town. There were reasons
 2
      for going to her home town which included access to
 3
      documents. And Mr. Stilley didn't want to produce her there.
 4
      This woman incidentally is the person who is doing the
 5
      legwork for Mr. Stilley in this case and she has actually
 6
      paid him at least $5,000. So, I mean, it's not like she's an
 7
      independent person.
 8
               THE COURT: You mean he's paid her?
 9
               MR. OLIVER: No, she's paid him. And Mr. Stilley
10
      wanted to bring his client up here for the obvious reason I
11
      think, Your Honor, if he would have shown up in Arkansas,
12
      they would have arrested him because he's got a fugitive
13
      felony warrant out for him in Arkansas, so he voluntarily
14
      brought him up here. He chose the place. We were willing to
15
      come to her home town and he chose the place, and I don't
16
      think we should pay for his choice.
17
               THE COURT: Sounds good to me. What about that,
18
      Mr. Stilley?
19
               MR. STILLEY: Judge Shaw, if you don't mind, I'll
20
      just let you make the call on that.
21
               THE COURT: Well, that was the call, that's denied.
22
               MR. STILLEY: Okay.
23
               THE COURT: Let's see what we got next.
24
      Interrogatories, you want some answers so interrogatories?
25
               MR. STILLEY:
                             That is correct, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Why don't we go with them. No. 5, the names of all students attending Mountain Park Baptist and contact information. What about that?

MR. OLIVER: Well, Your Honor, that's protected by federal law and Missouri law. The school can't give it out, not to mention I know the Court's quite familiar with the whole number of --

THE COURT: Oh, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OLIVER: -- cases, particularly National Association of Advancement of Colored People versus Alabama, which makes these church type organizations member lists confidential. Plus with students, the federal -- there's a federal statute. There's a Missouri statute that prevents the name and there's a -- revealing the names and there's a Florida statute that prevents leaving the names -- revealing the names. And quite frankly, Your Honor, anybody that Oscar -- and I'm not accusing him of doing this individually, because I don't know, but there's a web site which solicits past members of Mountain Park and Palm Lane, the two church defendants in here that solicit past graduates or attendees to hire Oscar and tells them his phone number and even has a questionnaire, so that, I mean, he has access to anybody that wants to voluntarily come forward. And I think quite frankly, we're totally forbidden by law from revealing those names.

```
1
               THE COURT: I would tend to agree with you.
 2
      Mr. Stilley, were you not aware of these prohibitions?
 3
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, Oscar Stilley here.
 4
      understood that the defendants were saying that these
 5
      prohibitions didn't actually cover them, that they were just
 6
      using them as an analogy to say they should be given the same
 7
      treatment because this is not a publicly funded school. And
 8
      in this case -- now they cited some cases, but in this case
9
      there is no attempt to get information that would be
10
      embarrassing or harmful to the student in any way or the
11
      child.
12
               THE COURT: Right, right. I'm denying this.
13
               MR. STILLEY: Okay.
14
               THE COURT: That's denied.
15
               MR. STILLEY: Okay.
16
               THE COURT: Now, your No. 6, all defense witnesses
17
      and contact information. That sounds like it might be
18
      reasonable. What about that, Mr. Oliver?
19
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, in fact, one of your opinions
20
      says that he gets that when the pretrial order is due, and
21
      it's work product till then.
22
               THE COURT: It sounds like you might have me there.
23
      What's the problem, Mr. Stilley? I mean, looks like that's
24
      the way it's going to be.
25
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, I don't think that's
```

correct. I don't think -- I mean, the whole idea here of what we're doing is to try to settle disputes, and if you have to wait till that long in order to find out who the witnesses are, then that's going to be detrimental to settlement.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you more particularize your question then in terms of who knows A, B, I mean, if a person has specific information rather than all witnesses and so forth. That's a bit broad and it's not really directed to something. You know, if there's something that's directed to an issue or something, then maybe we're looking at a different situation. But you're asking for everybody under the sun. And, you know, I think it's overly broad. I'm going to deny this without prejudice. You're going to have to do something better than that with your question.

MR. STILLEY: Okay, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. It's just overly broad and not directed to any specific thing in controversy or issues in the case.

Now, your No. 7, all employees of Mountain Park and Palm Lane Academy.

MR. OLIVER: Judge, John Oliver. This one is not as clear. As to the hours of work and their pay, you know, since we're using Missouri privilege law, the hours of work and pay constitute what the Missouri Court of Appeals calls a

fundamental right of privacy in employment records. I'll be honest with you, I can't find anything that says names are protected, but the hours of work are. And that's to protect ourselves, that's why we made the objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stilley, it seems that
Mr. Oliver is willing to give you the names but not the
information about their pay and hours. What about that, you
satisfied with that?

MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, I would take that I can contact those individuals and find out information about the rest of the information that I need.

MR. OLIVER: Oscar, let me tell you just so there's no question. Your Honor, in case this comes up, I'm sure the Court is aware of the Missouri Supreme Court decision in State ex rel. Pitts v. Roberts that says Mr. Stilley can't contact our employees, that that's a violation of Rule 4. And I wouldn't want any misunderstanding about that. You know, Rule 4 of the Rules of Professional Responsibility enforced in Missouri adopted by this court would prevent that contact even though under the IBM decision -- well, you've written about it a couple times, Judge. Some of these people would not be management people.

THE COURT: Okay. What about that, Mr. Stilley?

MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, my position on it would be if that's the way they feel about it, I can do depositions on

```
1
      them.
 2
               THE COURT: Fine.
 3
               MR. STILLEY: I don't think it would take a great
 4
      deal of time for the information I need.
 5
               THE COURT: Fine, it's all good. Let's move on to
 6
      the next matter. That's denied as moot because you all have
 7
      resolved that.
 8
               Now we're going to your No. 10, all defendant assets
 9
      greater than $1,000 with description. What's all of this,
10
      Mr. Stilley?
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, on our punitive damage
11
12
      case, the wealth and income of the defendant would be a
13
      proper matter for the jury to consider in deciding punitive
14
      damages.
               THE COURT: Well, okay. What about it, Mr. Oliver?
15
16
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, there's a Missouri Supreme Court
17
      case that says that the financial statements -- that in a
18
      punitive damage case, we've got to give up the financial
19
      statement to the extent that there is a financial statement.
20
               THE COURT: Right, net worth. We'll deal with net
21
              I'll grant it as to net worth. And that's that,
22
      okay.
23
               MR. OLIVER: I think that's correct.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Granted as to net worth.
25
               Okay, now we've got your No. 16, bounty hunter
```

```
1
      connections. Okay. Explain this to us, Mr. Stilley.
 2
               MR. STILLEY: On No. 16?
 3
               THE COURT: Yeah.
 4
               MR. STILLEY: Okay. Just a second, let me look at
 5
      this here. Judge, this is --
 6
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, this is John Oliver.
 7
      that question.
 8
               THE COURT: So that would be moot then?
 9
               MR. STILLEY: John, did you --
10
               MR. OLIVER: I think we did. The answer is no,
11
      there aren't any. So I know I answered that way in the
12
      amended answers for Palm Lane.
13
               THE COURT: Well, if that would be your answer too,
14
      Mr. Briggs?
15
               MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: Fine, if that's the same answer, why
17
      don't you respond and then that will end that and that will
18
      make that moot, okay.
19
               MR. BRIGGS: Okay.
20
               THE COURT: Let's go to No. 17, reasons why the
21
      defendants denied plaintiff his liberty and the official
22
      policy of Mountain Park regarding students' legal right to
23
      appeal and counsel.
24
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, that exact question is turned
25
      down. I know this isn't your favorite court --
```

THE COURT: No. 18.

MR. OLIVER: -- on the higher floor. In a case,
Baker versus General Motors, 209 F.3d 1051, certain other
brethren in black robes said that this question is -- I mean,
almost exact same question is no good. I mean, I know we
don't always defer to that group of people, but at least in a
discovery situation they said this is a bad question because
it's work product.

THE COURT: It's a strange question. You got anything further before I deny 17 and 18, Mr. Stilley? Do you have anything you wish to say to try to make me change my mind?

MR. STILLEY: Yes, Your Honor. One of the key issues here is false imprisonment. That's one of our claims. And we'd like to know what their basis is for saying that they had a legal right to detain him. And I understand, you know, if I would do some depositions here we would get further information, but that seems to be the most basic if somebody is claiming false imprisonment that the defendant would have a duty to say what their basis is for holding someone.

THE COURT: Well, your question I don't know was great in its artistry in asking that question in terms of what, if any, legal basis, or I guess they may be denying they detained anybody at all as far as that's concerned.

```
What about that, Mr. Oliver? He's sort of saying that
 1
 2
      that's what his question is, what, if any, legal basis I
 3
      guess.
 4
               MR. OLIVER: If he asked what our policy was, I
 5
      would think the question would not be objectionable as
 6
      opposed to what our legal theory is. I don't mind explaining
 7
      our policy.
 8
               THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase your question and
 9
      then Mr. Oliver will see about getting you an answer to that,
10
      Mr. Stilley. Okay? Does that work that out?
11
               MR. STILLEY: I think we can work that out.
12
               THE COURT: So I'm going to deny both this 17 --
13
      your interrogatory 17 and 18 without prejudice because you're
14
      going to reformulate that. You're all going to work that
15
      out, okay?
16
               MR. STILLEY: Okay.
17
               THE COURT: Okay, you want some documents,
18
      defendants' state and federal tax returns. Now, I told you
19
      you could get the net worth, so what's this about, the tax
20
      returns?
21
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, I'd like to see his claim
22
      of income from the operations of this boarding school.
23
               THE COURT: What about it, Mr. Oliver?
24
               MR. OLIVER: Well, Judge, we're not required to file
      income tax returns and we don't.
25
```

MR. STILLEY: Well, that satisfied it. That moots 1 2 it. 3 THE COURT: Fine, that's moot then. Okay. You're also asking, Mr. Stilley, if any complaints or petitions 4 5 filed against the defendant in each state, federal court, or 6 administrative agency. 7 MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, this is Oscar Stilley 8 I don't mean to go back on you, but I do need to make 9 sure on the no returns if that on all the defendants. 10 MR. OLIVER: The only -- I thought the only motion 11 to compel was with respect to the two legal entities, not individuals. 12 13 MR. STILLEY: No, it's the individuals' tax returns. 14 I want to see who is getting the income from the operations 15 of Mountain Park. MR. BRIGGS: Your Honor, this is John Briggs. 16 17 some of these defendants, including Robert O'Brient and Bo

MR. BRIGGS: Your Honor, this is John Briggs. As to some of these defendants, including Robert O'Brient and Bo and Julie Gerhardt, they are basically just employees, and I don't know what rationale there would be for producing that stuff beyond just the basic statement of their net worth. I don't see what the relevance is. I think it would be inappropriate to tell them to produce that information, especially since 1997. I mean, at that point Bo and Julie Gerhardt were both minors, so I'm not sure it would have any relevance anyway.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stilley, what about it? MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, you know, we're all supposed to negotiate in good faith and try to settle issues like this, and I was perfectly willing if it was too broad to narrow it. And if somebody has not filed returns, all they have to say is they didn't file returns and that's okay. if they filed those returns, I feel like that there's a serious question here in this case about who is profiting from this conduct, and I'd like to see it on the basis of these income tax returns. MR. OLIVER: Judge, I've got a compromise, we'll submit them to you under seal, and if the evidence warrants, Mr. Stilley can apply to you to look. THE COURT: Well, my inclination is to deny the request, the part that is moot relative to the business entities. I mean, I understand, Mr. Stilley, you are trying to see who is profiting from -- I mean, you ought to be able to get that with some interrogatories without -- I don't know. MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, Oscar --THE COURT: I don't know that me looking at some tax returns under seal is going to help anything in terms of making a call on that. It's really a basis for why you have to have that that relates to this case, Mr. Stilley.

MR. STILLEY: Okay. Let me say this. On Mountain

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Park, that is the legal entity that's the corporation, and, you know, those no profits have certain tax returns they are required to make public, everybody can see it. THE COURT: Mr. Oliver is saying they didn't file any tax returns. MR. OLIVER: Your Honor, he's talking about a Form 990. And the regulations exempt churches and related entities from having to file those. I'll be glad to supply Mr. Stilley with a precise -- a copy of the statute and the regulation. MR. STILLEY: That satisfied me on that issue. one that I'm looking at is Mountain Park because Mountain Park is not as I understand incorporated, it's an unincorporated association, and so we don't know who is actually -- it's in the nature of a joint venture, and we don't know who is taking the profit off that, who is making the money off operations at Mountain Park. MR. OLIVER: My answer applied to Mountain Park and Palm Lane, Oscar. MR. STILLEY: I understand as to entity tax returns. But Mountain Park, correct me if I'm wrong, it is not either a corporation or an entity that is recognized by --MR. OLIVER: It's a voluntary unincorporated association, to wit, a church. It is specifically exempt from filing requirements.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STILLEY: I'm not talking about the church's return, I'm talking about individuals' returns who are getting money from the operation of Mountain Park. And if Mountain Park is an entity capable of suing or being sued, somebody needs to correct me on that. I don't think they are. But nonetheless, somebody is -- there's a significant revenue stream there and somebody is getting that revenue stream, and I'd like to see who it is. THE COURT: I'm going to deny this, Mr. Stilley. Mr. Oliver is going to give you those answers relative to corporations not filing or the incorporated association not filing tax returns. It seems to me that this is rather intrusive. They are defendants, you are going to get their net worth. And it seems to me that you can do an interrogatory or something about who is paid what and this and that, because I think asking for tax returns is a bit intrusive. So I'm denying that. MR. STILLEY: Okay. THE COURT: Assuming that Mr. Oliver is going to supply you with the information that he indicated. MR. OLIVER: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, very well. That's that. Let's see, so those -- let's go back to the complaint. We were talking about complaints, petitions filed against defendants in any state, federal court, or

```
administrative agency. Who wants to respond?
 1
 2
               MR. OLIVER: Well, other lawsuits, Judge, are not
 3
      admissible so it's outside the scope of discovery. John
 4
               I mean, if you want, Oscar knows what they are.
 5
      don't even know why he's asking. Teri Young admitted in her
 6
      deposition she's traveled to all these states and gotten all
 7
      the documents. So I don't know what there is that she hasn't
 8
      already gotten.
 9
               THE COURT: What about that, Mr. Stilley?
10
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, they asked basically the
11
      same question of my client, and we didn't have any complaint.
12
      We gave them that information and I just figured that if it
13
      was relevant for my client, it was relevant for their client
14
      too. We need to see what's going on here.
15
               THE COURT: Can you just list them for him,
      Mr. Oliver?
16
17
               MR. OLIVER: I can, Your Honor. I don't mind the
18
      list, I mind the hunting up the pieces of paper.
19
               THE COURT: Well, what about that, Mr. Stilley?
20
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, if he makes a list and
21
      gives me some idea where they are at, that would be
22
      satisfactory.
23
               THE COURT: Fine, that's granted to that extent.
24
               MR. OLIVER: I can do that, Judge.
25
               THE COURT: Fine, thank you. No. 4, request
```

```
documents of the money judgments ever entered against the
 1
 2
      defendant in personal or professional capacity.
 3
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, this is Oscar Stilley
 4
             I think we can do the same thing, if they can just
 5
      give us a list of them and tell us where they are at.
 6
               MR. OLIVER: That's easy, Judge. I don't mind that
 7
      at all.
 8
               THE COURT: It's granted to that extent.
 9
      think -- let's see, the last thing I got are copies of
10
      passports. Why you worried about some passports,
11
      Mr. Stilley?
12
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, I'm sure -- well, I'm not
13
      sure you're aware of this. There was some allegations of
14
      potential drugging in this case and I want to see about
15
      travel outside the country.
16
               THE COURT: What's that got to do with drugging?
17
      I'm not following you there.
18
               MR. STILLEY: I think that's where they are getting
19
      the drugs.
20
               THE COURT: Hey, there's so much drugs, all these
21
      cases I got down there, they better stay inside the U.S.
22
      Most of the drugs for all these cases I got, I think all the
23
      drugs are here.
24
               MR. OLIVER: They are all produced in East Prairie.
25
               THE COURT: What about this, Mr. Oliver? It seems a
```

```
bit farfetched to me.
 1
 2
               MR. OLIVER: Your Honor, actually the passport
 3
      creates a right of privacy.
 4
               THE COURT: I'm denying this. It's a bit
 5
      farfetched, Mr. Stilley.
 6
               MR. STILLEY: Okay.
 7
               THE COURT: Anything else?
 8
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, I think you've indicated
 9
      Mr. Stilley is going to redo some of these questions.
10
      and I talked about this briefly. I think it would be
11
      appropriate if you'd extend the discovery deadline by consent
12
      to Thanksgiving. It won't affect anything and that way Oscar
13
      has plenty of time to make any modifications. And if he
14
      doesn't like the answers, he'll have enough time. And quite
15
      frankly, I need to go down and find the doctor down in Fort
16
      Smith, Arkansas, which means I got to file an ancillary case
17
      down there.
18
               THE COURT: Let me tell you this: You know, every
19
      month they have these numbers that come out in terms of how
20
      many cases we've got pending, and I got a lot of cases in
21
      Cape. So that's where my problem is in terms of I got to get
22
      some of those cases disposed of.
23
               MR. OLIVER: If we get 30 more days, it won't delay
24
      anything else, Judge. It doesn't affect any of your other
25
      dates.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. What about that, Mr. Stilley?
 2
               MR. STILLEY: I think that's a wonderful idea,
 3
      Judge.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll extend it then 30 more
 5
            I guess that will be -- I'm going to pull this
 6
      calendar out here. Okay, we'll extend it to November, that's
 7
      a Friday, November 21st, okay.
 8
               MR. OLIVER: Your Honor, I appreciate it.
9
               MR. STILLEY: Your Honor, before we close this off,
10
      we haven't had any ruling on the request No. 13 to completely
11
      copy Jordan's file.
12
               MR. OLIVER: Judge, if he doesn't have that, I will
13
      certainly copy it myself and send to it him for both
14
      institutions.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Well --
16
               MR. STILLEY: Excellent.
17
               THE COURT: Then 13 is moot.
18
               MR. STILLEY: How about 13 to 15, can we get the
19
      same agreement?
20
               MR. OLIVER: I don't remember what the other two
21
      are.
22
               MR. STILLEY: Actually 13 through 17, and that will
23
      close it out. It's educational or medical records, they will
24
      be part of the file.
25
               MR. OLIVER: It's all in the file. I will copy
```

```
1
      that, Your Honor, everything in Palm Lane and everything at
 2
      Mountain Park that has Jordan Blair's name on it or was put
 3
      in his file that relates in any way. I'll personally do it
 4
      and mail it to Mr. Stilley.
 5
               THE COURT: Very well. You satisfied, Mr. Stilley?
 6
               MR. STILLEY: I'm very satisfied.
 7
               THE COURT: You know, Mr. Stilley, you remind of the
 8
      story, a man was getting a divorce, he hadn't seen the
 9
      estranged wife for awhile. He went to court and he saw her.
10
      He didn't hardly recognize her, she was in pigtails, looked
11
      like a child. And she got on the witness stand and she
12
      started crying. And the judge started crying. And the judge
13
      looked at the estranged husband and said, "You have any
14
      dreams? We want those too." You want everything,
15
      Mr. Stilley.
                    Okay.
16
               MR. OLIVER: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Thank you all. Take it easy.
18
               MR. OLIVER: Yes, sir.
19
               (Court in recess at 12:14 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, Susan R. Moran, Registered Merit Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the proceedings in the above-mentioned court; and that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct, and complete transcript of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this action, nor financially interested in the action.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 - 25 and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at St. Louis, Missouri, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2003.

Susan R. Moran
Registered Merit Reporter